

30 Bank Street PO Box 350 New Britain, CT 06050-0350 06051 for 30 Bank Street (860)223-4400

fax (860)223-4488

Testimony of Houston Putnam Lowry Chair of the

International Law & Practice Section of the Connecticut Bar Association

Senate Bill 332, AAC Choice of Law with Respect to Commercial Transactions

Judiciary Committee

March 26, 2010

The Connecticut Bar Association's Section of International Law supports enactment of this statute because it will promote international trade by enforcing the parties' choice of Connecticut law in commercial transactions.

This bill substantially tracks New York general obligation §5-1401. The predictability of contractual provisions is very important in international trade. A commercial contractual provision between parties of equal bargaining position should mean what it says. Currently under Connecticut law, a choice of law provision might not be enforced because the transaction has no reasonable relationship to Connecticut.

For the past twenty-five years, the Connecticut legislature has worked hard to modernize its law to face the challenges of modern international trade. Parties can recognize this and elect to choose Connecticut law to govern their relationship, even though Connecticut has no other role in the transaction. Connecticut should not thwart the power of commercial parties to choose Connecticut law to govern their transaction.

For these reasons, the Connecticut Bar Association's Section of International Law requests the Judiciary Committee favorably report this bill to the General Assembly.

¹ §5-1401. Choice of law. 1. The parties to any contract, agreement or undertaking, contingent or otherwise, in consideration of, or relating to any obligation arising out of a transaction covering in the aggregate not less than two hundred fifty thousand dollars, including a transaction otherwise covered by subsection one of section 1-105 of the uniform commercial code, may agree that the law of this state shall govern their rights and duties in whole or in part, whether or not such contract, agreement or undertaking bears a reasonable relation to this state. This section shall not apply to any contract, agreement or undertaking (a) for labor or personal services, (b) relating to any transaction for personal, family or household services, or (c) to the extent provided to the contrary in subsection two of section 1-105 of the uniform commercial code.

^{2.} Nothing contained in this section shall be construed to limit or deny the enforcement of any provision respecting choice of law in any other contract, agreement or undertaking.